

Amendments to the Drawings:

Applicant has provides herewith a new drawing sheet, labeled as "FIG. 10a" illustrating features such as "a housing covering a fin mounting fastener" and "a housing having a depression that receives the fin mounting fastener". No new matter has been added.

Attachment: New Drawing Sheet (FIG. 10a)

REMARKS

Claims 1-4 and 30-47 are pending in the present application. In a Final Office Action dated August 4, 2005, claims 30-35 were allowed, claims 36 and 42-47 were rejected and claims 37-41 were objected to. Applicant hereby cancels claims 1-4, 36, and 42-47 and amends claim 37 in conformance with the recommendation of the Final Office Action.

Objections to the Drawings

The drawings were objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.83(a) because features such as "a housing covering a fin mounting fastener" and "a housing having a depression that receives the fin mounting fastener", among others, were not shown in the drawings. Applicant has included a new drawing sheet, labeled as FIG. 10a, illustrating these two features. With respect to the other drawing objections, Applicant has canceled claims relating to those objections, and therefore the objections are moot.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102

Claim 36 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cartwright (US 5,109,683). Claims 36 and 42 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williams (US 4,340,376). Claims 36 and 42 have been canceled, rendering the rejections moot.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103

Claims 43-46 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cartwright. Applicant has canceled these claims, rendering the rejection moot.

Claims 43 and 47 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frizzell (US 5,934,963). Applicant has canceled these claims, rendering the rejection moot.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of objection and rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding rejections and that they be withdrawn. It is believed that a full and complete reply has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 19, 2005

By: 

Thomas M. Thibault
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 42,181

11340 Vista Sorrento Pkwy #306
San Diego, California 92130
Telephone: (858) 735-1097